[Paper review 13]

Bayesian Uncertainty Estimation for Batch Normalized Deep Networks

(Mattias Teye, et al , 2018)

[Contents]

- 0. Abstract
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Related Works
- 3. Method
 - 1. Bayesian Modeling
 - 2. Batch Normalized Deep Nets as Bayesian Modeling
 - 3. Prior $p(\omega)$
 - 4. Predictive Uncertainty in Batch Normalized Deep Nets

0. Abstract

show that "BN(batch normalization) = approximate inference in Bayesian models"

• allow us to make estimate of "model uncertainty" using conventional architecture, without modifications to the network!

1. Introduction

In this work, focus on estimating "predictive uncertainties in DNN"

Previous works

1) Drop out (Gal & Ghahramani, 2015)

- any network trained with dropout is an approximate Bayesian Model
- uncertainty estimates can be obtained by "computing the variance of multiple predictions" with different dropout masks
- technique called "MCDO" (Monte Carlo Dropout)

(can be applied to any pre-trained networks with dropout layers)

(uncertainty estimation for free!)

2) Batch normalization

- ability to stabilize learning with improved generalization
- mini-batch statistics depend on randomly selected batch memebers

 \rightarrow using this stochasticity, this paper shows that "using BN can be cast as an approximate Bayesian Inference"

ightarrow MCBN (Monte Carlo Batch Normalization)

2. Related Works

Bayesian models for modeling uncertainty

- Gaussian process for infinite parameters (Neal, 1995)
- Bayesian NN (Mackay, 1992)
- Variational Inference (Hinton & Van Camp, 1993) (Kingma & Welling, 2014)
- Probabilistic Backpropagation (PBP) (Graves, 2011)
- Factorized posterior via Expectation Propagation (Hernandez-Lobato & Adams, 2015)
- Deep GP (Bui et al., 2016)
- Bayesian Hypernetworks (Kruger et al., 2017)
- Multiplicative Normalizing Flows (MNF) (Louizos & Welling, 2017)

They all require "MODIFICATION to the architecture"

• Network trained with dropout implicitly performs the VI object

Thus, any network trained with dropout can be treated as approximate Bayesian Model (Gal & Ghahramani, 2015)

(By making multiple predictions \rightarrow get mean & variance of them)

3. Method

3.1 Bayesian Modeling

deterministic model $: \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\mathbf{y}} f_{\omega}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$

probabilistic model : $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = rg\max_{\mathbf{y}} f_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = rg\max_{\mathbf{y}} p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \omega)$

- posterior distribution : $p(\boldsymbol{\omega} \mid \mathbf{D})$
- probabilistic prediction : $p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{D}) = \int f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) p(\boldsymbol{\omega} \mid \mathbf{D}) d\boldsymbol{\omega}$

Variational Approximation (VA)

- learn $q_{\theta}(\omega)$ that minimizes KL $(q_{\theta}(\omega) || p(\omega | \mathbf{D}))$
- minimizing KL $(q_{\theta}(\omega) || p(\omega | D))$

```
= maximizing ELBO
```

= minimizing negative ELBO (=

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{VA}}(oldsymbol{ heta}) := -\sum_{i=1}^N \int q_{oldsymbol{ heta}}(oldsymbol{\omega}) \ln f_{oldsymbol{\omega}}\left(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i
ight) \mathrm{d}oldsymbol{\omega} + ext{KL}\left(q_{oldsymbol{ heta}}(oldsymbol{\omega}) \| p(oldsymbol{\omega})
ight) \;\;)$$

= (by MC approximation) minimizing $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{VA}(\theta) := -\frac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ln f_{\omega_i}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) + \text{KL}(q_{\theta}(\omega) \| p(\omega))$ (where M is the size of mini-batch (ex. 64, 128, 256 ...)

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{VA}}(heta) := -rac{N}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\ln f_{\hat{\omega_i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_i,\mathrm{y}_i
ight) + \mathrm{KL}\left(q_{ heta}(\omega)\|p(\omega)
ight)$$

- (1) data likelihood : $-rac{N}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\ln f_{\omega_i}\left(\mathbf{x}_i,\mathbf{y}_i
 ight)$
- (2) divergence of the prior w.r.t approximated posterior : $\mathrm{KL}\left(q_{ heta}(\omega)\|p(\omega)
 ight)$

3.2 Batch Normalized Deep Nets as Bayesian Modeling

inference function : $f_{\omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathrm{W}^{L} a \left(\, \mathrm{W}^{L-1} \dots a \left(\, \mathrm{W}^{2} a \left(\, \mathrm{W}^{1} \mathbf{x}
ight)
ight)$

- $a(\cdot)$: element-wise non linearity function
- W^l : weight vector at layer l
- x^l : input to layer l
- $h^l = W^l x^l$

Batch Normalization (BN)

• def) unit-wise operation as below

(standard the distribution of each "unit's input")

$${\hat{h}}^u = rac{h^u - \mathbb{E}[h^u]}{\sqrt{ ext{Var}[h^u]}}$$

• during...

1) training : use "mini-batch" (thus, estimated mean & variance on minibatch B is used)

2) evaluation : use "all training data"

ightarrow therefore, inference at training time for a sample x is a stochastic process!

(depends on the samples of the mini-batch)

Loss Function and Optimization

training NN with "mini-batch optimization"

= minimizing $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{RR}}(\omega) := rac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^M l\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_i, \mathbf{y}_i\right) + \Omega(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ (regularized risk minimization)

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{RR}}(\omega) := rac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M l\left(\hat{ extbf{y}}_i, extbf{y}_i
ight) + \Omega(oldsymbol{\omega})$$

- (1) empirical loss : $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} l\left(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{i}, \mathbf{y}_{i}\right)$
- (2) regularization : $\Omega(\boldsymbol{\omega})$

if we set loss function as cross-entropy or SSE , we can also express $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{RR}}$ as below

(= minimizing the negative log likelihood)

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{RR}}(\omega):=-rac{1}{M au}\sum_{i=1}^{M}\ln f_{\omega}\left(ext{x}_{i}, ext{y}_{i}
ight)+\Omega(\omega) \hspace{1em}$$
 ($au=1$ for classification)

with BN, parameters : $\{\mathbf{W}^{1:L}, \gamma^{1:L}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{1:L}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{B}}^{1:L}, \sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{1:L}\}$

- learnable params : $heta = \left\{ \mathbf{W}^{1:L}, \gamma^{1:L}, eta^{1:L}
 ight\}$
- stochastic params : $\omega = \left\{ \mu_{\mathrm{B}}^{1:L}, \sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{1:L}
 ight\},$

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{RR}}(\omega) &:= -rac{1}{M au}\sum_{i=1}^M \ln f_\omega\left(ext{x}_i, ext{y}_i
ight) + \Omega(\omega) \ &= -rac{1}{M au}\sum_{i=1}^M \ln f_{\left\{m{ heta}, \hat{m{\omega}}_i
ight\}}\left(ext{x}_i, ext{y}_i
ight) + \Omega(m{ heta}) \end{aligned}$$

(where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_i$: mean & variance for sample *i*'s mini-batch)

($\hat{\omega}_i$ needs to be i.i.d for training data, but for large number of epochs, it converges to i.i.d cases)

We can estimate uncertainty of predictions by using "Inherent stochasticity of BN"

3.3 Prior $p(\omega)$

for VA & BN to be same.... $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ of (eq 1) and (eq 2) should be equivalent up to a scaling factor

- (eq 1) $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{VA}(\theta) = -\frac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ln f_{\omega_i}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) + \text{KL}(q_{\theta}(\omega) || p(\omega))$ (eq 2) $\mathcal{L}_{RR}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{M\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \ln f_{\{\theta, \hat{\omega}_i\}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) + \Omega(\theta)$

That is, $rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \mathrm{KL}(q_{ heta}(\omega) \| p(\omega)) = N au rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \Omega(oldsymbol{ heta})$

How to satisfy the condition above?

Solution (1)

- let the prior $p(\omega)$ imply the regularization term $\Omega(\theta)$
- in (eq 1), contribution of $\mathrm{KL}\left(q_{\theta}(\omega) \| p(\omega)\right)$ to $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathrm{VA}}$ is "inversely scaled with N " (that is, as $N
 ightarrow \infty$, NO regularization)

Solution (2)

- let the regularization term $\Omega(\theta)$ imply the prior $p(\omega)$
- ex) L-2 regularization : $\Omega(heta) = \lambda \sum_{l=1:L} \left\| W^l
 ight\|^2$

3.4 Predictive Uncertainty in Batch Normalized **Deep Nets**

approximate predictive distribution : $p^*(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{D}) := \int f_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) q_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega}$ by Dropout as Bayesian Inference (Gal, 2016)

- mean : $\mathbb{E}_{p^*}[\mathbf{y}] pprox rac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T f_{\hat{\omega}_i}(\mathbf{x})$
- covariance : $\operatorname{Cov}_{p^*}[\mathbf{y}] \approx \tau^{-1}\mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^T f_{\hat{\omega}_i}(\mathbf{x})^\top f_{\hat{\omega}_i}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{E}_{p^*}[\mathbf{y}]^\top \mathbb{E}_{p^*}[\mathbf{y}]$ (where $\hat{\omega}_i$ corresponds to sampling the net's stochastic params $\omega = \left\{ \mu_{\mathrm{B}}^{1:L}, \sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{1:L} \right\}$) (Sampling $\hat{\omega}_i$ involves sampling a batch *B* from training set)

Algorithm summary

network is trained just as a regular BN network!

But, instead of replacing $\omega = \left\{ \mu_{\rm B}^{1:L}, \sigma_{\rm B}^{1:L} \right\}$ with population values from D, we update these parameters stochastically, once for each forward pass

Algorithm 1 MCBN Algorithm

Input: sample x, number of inferences T, batchsize b **Output:** mean prediction \hat{y} , predictive uncertainty σ^2

1:
$$\mathbf{y} = \{\}$$

2: **loop** for *T* iterations
3: $B \sim D //$ mini batch
4: $\hat{\omega} = \{\mu_B, \sigma_B\}$ // mini batch mean and variance
5: $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} \cup f_{\hat{\omega}}(x)$
6: **end loop**

7:
$$\hat{y} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}]$$

8: $\sigma^2 = \text{Cov}[\mathbf{y}] + \tau^{-1}\mathbf{I}$ // for regression